

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,219	04/05/2006	Nigel-Philip Cox	2002P14335WOUS	6983	
Siemens Corpo	7590 11/27/2007	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			CARRILLO, BIBI SHARIDAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			11/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/531,219	COX ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sharidan Carrillo	1792	

zerere are i ming of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sharidan Carrillo	1792	•				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			•				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected Claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant / anonamone	(I TOL-024).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	I be entered and an e	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-20 and 22-26.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
- C							
	18.88	0.00					
•	7	Sharidan Carrillo Primary Examiner					
	OLIADIDALI GADDII I G	Art Unit: 1792	7				
SHARIDAN CAMPALLO							
S. Patent and Trademark Office	Philliant GA						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended claims directed to the composition, molten bath and oxygen donor would require further search and/or consideration .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art of Wustman and Fusnocht fail to teach the newly amended limitations directed to the composition of MCrAlY, a molten bath formed of two salts and the addition of the oxygen donor. Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive in view of the non-entry of the After Final Amendment.